

REMARKS

Entry of the above amendments is respectfully requested. The applicant has amended claim 6 and believes that the use of "are used" is redundant and not necessary. With respect to claim 9 it appears that the wording of pending claim 9 has been misunderstood, because the **non-conductor** cannot be selected from **semiconductors**. According to claim 9, the solutions comprise beside the **non-conducting** polymer, which is used as a matrix polymer, a **semiconductor** as an additional compound, which is selected from low-molecular-weight, oligomeric, dendritic or polymeric organic or organometallic semiconductors. The applicant has amended claim 9 accordingly.

The applicant has amended the term "characterized in that", in claims 22 and 23 to be consistent with the Examiner's amendment.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 03-2775, under Order No. 14113-00041-US from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: August 2, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

Electronic signature: /Ashley I. Pezzner/
Ashley I. Pezzner

Registration No.: 35,646
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP
1007 North Orange Street
P. O. Box 2207
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-2207
(302) 658-9141
(302) 658-5614 (Fax)
Attorney for Applicant